

1 SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
2 Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
3 101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
4 Telephone: (213) 252-8008
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009
cm@SoCalEAG.com

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff
6 SAM BENFORD

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10
11 SAM BENFORD,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14
15 777 COMMERCE LLC; FIRST STOP
16 AUTO LLC; CHOI YEE KAM, AS
17 TRUSTEE OF THE KAM FAMILY
18 TRUST; and DOES 1 to 10,
Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;

2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;

3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;

4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;

5. NEGLIGENCE

19
20
21
22
23
24
25 Plaintiff SAM BENFORD ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants 777
26 COMMERCE LLC; FIRST STOP AUTO LLC; CHOI YEE KAM, AS TRUSTEE OF
27 THE KAM FAMILY TRUST; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

28 //

PARTIES

1
2 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff is
3 hemiplegic due to a stroke, and is substantially limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff
4 requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

5 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
6 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a used car dealership
7 (“Business”) located at or about 314 E. Garvey Ave., Monterey Park, California.

8 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
9 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
10 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
11 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
12 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that each such
13 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
14 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

15 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
16 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
17 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
18 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
19 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

20 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
21 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
22 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
23 and severally.

24 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

25 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
26 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
27 *seq.*).
28

1 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that
 2 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.
 3 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-
 4 Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

5 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
 6 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
 7 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

8 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion
 9 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to
 10 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business
 11 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24
 12 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the
 13 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

14 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

16 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
 17 paragraphs in this complaint.

18 19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual
 19 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of
 20 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of
 21 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place
 22 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

23 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 24 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
- 25 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
- 26 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
- 27 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
- 28 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of

1 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
2 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

3 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no
4 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or
5 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
6 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
7 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
8 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
9 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
10 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).

11 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
12 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
13 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
14 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
15 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
16 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
17 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

18 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
19 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily
20 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including
21 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
22 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
23 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
24 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
25 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the
26 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area
27 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and
28 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

21. At least one accessible route shall connect accessible building, facilities, elements, and spaces that are on the same site. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.3.2. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

22. Here, Defendants failed to provide at least one accessible entrance to the Business as the entrance had stairs instead of a proper ramp.

23. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

24. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related regulations.

25. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

27. California Civil Code § 51 states, "All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever."

1 28. California Civil Code § 52 states, “Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,
2 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 515, or 51.6, is liable
3 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be
4 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the
5 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any
6 attorney’s fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any
7 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

8 29. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any
9 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)
10 shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

11 30. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
12 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
13 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
14 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
15 51 and 52.

16 31. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
17 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
18 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

19 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

20 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

21 32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
22 paragraphs in this complaint.

23 33. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be
24 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
25 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
26 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
27 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
28 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise

provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places, places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

34. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

35. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the access of any person in violation of that act.

36. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

37. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.

38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

1 39. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
2 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each
3 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
4 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
5 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

6 40. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
7 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
8 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
9 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
10 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
11 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
12 persons with disabilities.

13 41. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
14 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
15 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
16 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
17 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
18 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
19 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
20 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

21 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **NEGLIGENCE**

23 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
24 paragraphs in this complaint.

25 43. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
26 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
27 to the Plaintiff.
28

